

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

PTO/SB/08b (07-05)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				<i>Complete if Known</i>	
				Application Number	10/716,568
				Filing Date	November 20, 2003
				First Named Inventor	Craig Hansen
				Group Art Unit	2183
				Examiner Name	Eddie P. Chan
Sheet	1	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	43876-152


OTHER PRIOR ART – NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate) title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, page(s), volume-issued number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
EC	AA	6/27/07 Declaration of Richard A. Belgard in Support of MicroUnity's Claim Construction, with Exhibits A-C (MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #7 – for Joint Claim Construction (PR 4-3 Disclosures)) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AB	6/29/07 Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,630,096 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AC	7/5/07 Order Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,630,096 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AD	7/11/07 SCEA's Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, And Counterclaims to MicroUnity's First Amended Complaint (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AE	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AF	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – SCEA's Supporting Evidence – Reference #1: Definitions of "finite group" and "ring", McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms, 5 th ed. (McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1994), pp. 757 and 1716 (SCEA-1723177-180) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AG	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #1: 8/26/05 Memo and Order re Claim Construction (Markman ruling) in <i>MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Dell, Inc. and Intel Corporation</i> , No. 2-04 CV-120 (U.S.D.C., E.D. Tex.) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AH	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #2: Definitions of "execution" and "general purpose computer", The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms, 6 th ed. (IEEE 1996), pp. 379, 451 and 1232 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AI	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #3: Definition of "execution", Modern Dictionary of Electronics, 6 th ed. revised and updated (Newnes/Butterworth-Heinemann 1997), p. 355 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	

*EXAMINER: Initial reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORM TO THIS ADDRESS. Send To Commissioner For Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.


Substitute for form 1449B/PTO			Complete if Known		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>			Application Number	10/716,568	
			Filing Date	November 20, 2003	
			First Named Inventor	Craig Hansen	
			Group Art Unit	2183	
			Examiner Name	Eddie P. Chan	
Sheet	2	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	43876-152

EC	AJ	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #4: Definition of "unique", Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary 2005, http://www.m-w.com (July 19, 2007) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AK	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #5: 3/9/05 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement in <i>MicroUnity Engineering Systems, Inc. v. Dell, Inc. and Intel Corporation</i> , No. 2-04-CV-120 (U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AL	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #6: Rudolf Lidl & Harald Niederreiter, <i>Introduction to Finite Fields and Their Applications</i> (1994), pp. 2-19 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AM	7/16/07 Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,867,735 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AN	7/19/07 Order Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,867,735 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AO	7/25/07 MU's Answer to SCEA's Second Amended Counterclaim in Response to MU's First Amended Complaint (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AP	5/21/07 Motion For a Stay of Action Pending Reexamination by AMD (Attachments: #(1) Appendix A to Motion to Stay, #(2) Declaration of Michael Sapoznikow, #(3) Exhibits 1-9, #(4) Text of Proposed Order (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AQ	6/8/07 MicroUnity's Opposition to Defendant's Motion For a Stay of Action Pending Reexamination and Declaration of Stuart Bartow (Attachments: Exhibits 1 & 2) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AR	6/18/07 AMD's Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion For a Stay of Action Pending Reexamination (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AS	Order Granting Agreed Motion to Enter the Stipulation of the Parties Concerning Modification to the Docket Control Order and Agreed Motion to Allow the Plaintiff to Amend its Original Complaint (Dismissals with prejudice) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	

Examiner Signature		Dated Considered	1/28/08
--------------------	---	------------------	---------

*EXAMINER: Initial reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORM TO THIS ADDRESS. Send To Commissioner For Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PTO/SB/08b (07-05)

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO				Complete if Known	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>				Application Number	10/716,568
				Filing Date	November 20, 2003
				First Named Inventor	Craig Hansen
				Group Art Unit	2183
				Examiner Name	Eddie P. Chan
Sheet	1	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	43876-152


OTHER PRIOR ART -- NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate) title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, page(s), volume-issued number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²	
E.C.	AA	6/27/07 Declaration of Richard A. Belgard in Support of MicroUnity's Claim Construction, with Exhibits A-C (MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #7 – for Joint Claim Construction (PR 4-3 Disclosures)) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AB	6/29/07 Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,630,096 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AC	7/5/07 Order Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,630,096 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AD	7/11/07 SCEA's Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses, And Counterclaims to MicroUnity's First Amended Complaint (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AE	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AF	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – SCEA's Supporting Evidence – Reference #1: Definitions of "finite group" and "ring", McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms, 5 th ed. (McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1994), pp. 757 and 1716 (SCEA-1723177-180) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AG	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #1: 8/26/05 Memo and Order re Claim Construction (Markman ruling) in <i>MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Dell, Inc. and Intel Corporation</i> , No. 2-04 CV-120 (U.S.D.C., E.D. Tex.) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AH	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #2: Definitions of "execution" and "general purpose computer", The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms, 6 th ed. (IEEE 1996), pp. 379, 451 and 1232 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		
E.C.	AI	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #3: Definition of "execution", Modern Dictionary of Electronics, 6 th ed. revised and updated (Newnes/Butterworth-Heinemann 1997), p. 355 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)		

*EXAMINER: Initial reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. 1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORM TO THIS ADDRESS. Send To Commissioner For Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(use as many sheets as necessary)</i>		<i>Complete if Known</i>			
		Application Number	10/716,568		
		Filing Date	November 20, 2003		
		First Named Inventor	Craig Hansen		
		Group Art Unit	2183		
		Examiner Name	Eddie P. Chan		
Sheet	2	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	43876-152

EC	AJ	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #4: Definition of "unique", Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary 2005, http://www.m-w.com (July 19, 2007) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AK	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #5: 3/9/05 Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement in <i>MicroUnity Engineering Systems, Inc. v. Dell, Inc. and Intel Corporation</i> , No. 2-04-CV-120 (U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AL	7/11/07 P.R. 4-3 Joint Claim Construction Statement – MU's Supporting Evidence – Reference #6: Rudolf Lidl & Harald Niederreiter, <i>Introduction to Finite Fields and Their Applications</i> (1994), pp. 2-19 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AM	7/16/07 Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,867,735 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AN	7/19/07 Order Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss Claims Regarding U.S. Patent No. 5,867,735 (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AO	7/25/07 MU's Answer to SCEA's Second Amended Counterclaim in Response to MU's First Amended Complaint (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Sony Computer Entertainment America, Inc., 2:05-cv-505, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AP	5/21/07 Motion For a Stay of Action Pending Reexamination by AMD (Attachments: #(1) Appendix A to Motion to Stay, #(2) Declaration of Michael Sapoznikow, #(3) Exhibits 1-9, #(4) Text of Proposed Order (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AQ	6/8/07 MicroUnity's Opposition to Defendant's Motion For a Stay of Action Pending Reexamination and Declaration of Stuart Bartow (Attachments: Exhibits 1 & 2) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AR	6/18/07 AMD's Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion For a Stay of Action Pending Reexamination (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	
EC	AS	Order Granting Agreed Motion to Enter the Stipulation of the Parties Concerning Modification to the Docket Control Order and Agreed Motion to Allow the Plaintiff to Amend its Original Complaint (Dismissals with prejudice) (MicroUnity Systems Engineering, Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 2:06-cv-486, U.S.D.C., E.D. Texas, Marshall Division)	

Examiner Signature		Dated Considered	1/28/08
--------------------	---	------------------	---------

*EXAMINER: Initial reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORM TO THIS ADDRESS. Send To Commissioner For Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2